



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/433,257 | —— | 11/04/1999 | YEVGENIY EUGENE SHTEYN | PHA-23.782 | 2314 |
| 24738 | 7590 | 03/25/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | NICS NORTH A | LIN, WEN TAI | | |
| | | OPERTY & STAN E, M/S-41SJ | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SAN JOSE, | CA 951 | 31 | 2154 | 16 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| r | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | • | 09/433,257 | SHTEYN, YEVGENIY EUGENE | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Wen-Tai Lin | 2154 | | | |
| D1-16 | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | |
| Period fo | • • | VIC CET TO EVEIDE a | MONTH(C) FROM | | | |
| THE - External control | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period irre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No e, cause the application to become | v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. E ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 F | ebruary 2004. | | | | |
| · | | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowa | | atters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C | C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 2-6 and 12-22 is/are pending in the a | application. | | | | |
| , | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>2-6,14,17 and 20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)🛛 | Claim(s) <u>12,13,15,16,18,19,21 and 22</u> is/are of | objected to. | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acc | | to by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | • | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ction is required if the drawi | ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attach | ned Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority : | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C | ; | | | |
| | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | , , , | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documen | ts have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | | Application No. | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | ority documents have be | en received in this National Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Burea | iu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • | | | |
| * (| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | t of the certified copies n | ot received. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmer | at(s) | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | | w Summary (PTO-413) | | | |
| | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 | | lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| | er No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: _ | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/433,257 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2-6 and 12-22 are presented for examination.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, USC code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. Claims 4-6, 14, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohen [U.S. Pat. No. 5751968].
- 4. Cohen was cited in the previous office action.
- 5. As to claim 14, Cohen taught the invention as claimed including: a method of, at a client device, forming a media presentation from multiple related files, including a control information file [54, Fig.5; col.6, lines 26-40], stored on one or more server computers within a computer network, the method comprising:
 - downloading the control information file to the client device [56, Fig.5];
 - the client device parsing the control information file [58, Fig.5; col.6, lines 26-50; i.e., the interactive display application program must parse the connection file in order to obtain the reference for segment file and its associated status];
 and

Application/Control Number: 09/433,257 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

- based on parsing of the control information file, the client device:

- retrieving a first file and using contents of the first file to begin a media presentation [60, Fig.5; col.6, lines 41-44];

- concurrent with the media presentation, retrieving a next file; and

- and using content of the next file to continue the media presentation [64, Fig.5; col.6, lines 44-54].

6. As to claims 4-5, Cohen further taught that the media presentation comprises an audio presentation or a video presentation [col.1, lines 49-54].

- 7. As to claim 6, Cohen further taught that partitioning of media presentation information between the multiple related files is described within the control information file using tags corresponding to respective files [Fig.3; col.5, lines 54-62; col.6, lines 35-50; i.e., each data file is tagged with an ID for the purpose of distinguishing one from the other in a streaming sequence].
- 8. As to claims 17 and 20, since the features of these claims can also be found in claims 14, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 14 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/433,257 Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

9. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen [U.S. Pat. No. 5751968], as applied to claims 4-6, 14, 17 and 20 above, further in view of Lin et al.(hereafter "Lin")[U.S. Pat. No. 6405256].

- 10. Both Cohen and Lin were cited in the previous office action.
- 11. As to claim 2, Cohen did not teach that partitioning of media presentation information between the multiple related files is determined by information about the client. Specifically, Cohen did not teach partitioning the data to be transferred to the client based on the buffering/display/processing capability of the client (i.e., media information such as video/audio data is known to be large in size and a client's device may not be able to handle a large chunk of data during a fixed interval of time).

However, Lin teaches a data streaming method/system wherein partitioning of streamed data is based on the buffering capability of the client device [Lin: col.6, lines 47-50]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that Cohen's data file size should be a factor of the client's buffering and display capability because this criterion makes sure that data streaming in Cohen's media presentation can be achieved without overflowing the client's buffering capacity [col.5, lines 39-53].

Application/Control Number: 09/433,257

Art Unit: 2154

12. As to claim 3, Cohen does not specifically teach that partitioning of media presentation information between the multiple related files is determined by information about the computer network.

However, Lin teaches a network comprising a plurality of caching servers, each with expandable buffer for storing additional segments of streamed data for absorbing network congestion [Abstract]. Since the caching servers and the network congestion are part of the network information, it is obvious that the data segmentation in Cohen's network, which obviously comprises a plurality of communication nodes, should also be based on the network's buffering capability in each intermediate network node, because by doing so one would be able to anticipate Cohen system's tolerance against traffic fluctuation.

- 13. Claims 12-13, 15-16, 18-19 and 21-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-6, 14, 17 and 20 have been considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Specifically, Applicant argues that Cohen does not teach parsing the connection file because the media player know a priori the format of the connection file, which therefore need not be parsed.

Art Unit: 2154

15. The examiner respectfully disagrees. With regard to parsing the control information file, the rejection in the previous office action states in part (note that Applicant's cited text in the Remarks appears to have been excerpted from an earlier version of the office action):

Cohen taught the invention as claimed including ... the client device parsing the control information file [58, Fig.5; col.6, lines 26-40; i.e., the interactive display application program must parse the connection file in order to obtain the reference for segment file and its associated status] ...

Due to the fact that information regarding the status of the files is rather dynamic (especially in real time presentation – see col.6, lines 41-50), the connection file is updated at the web site to include the status of all the files currently in the distribution unit (see col.6, lines 35-37). As such, it is asserted that Cohen's interactive display application must have used a parser to syntactically analyze the constantly updated connection file, so as to extract the dynamic status information therein.

- 16. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 17. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen-Tai Lin whose telephone number is (703)305-4875. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday(8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Follansbeecan be reached on (703)305-8498. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)746-7239 for official communications; and

(703)746-5516 for status inquires draft communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Wen-Tai Lin

March 19, 2004

Wer Jan 7. 3/19/04